1	Stephen Montoya (#011791)							
2	Montoya, Jimenez, Lucero & Pastor, P.A. 3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 2550							
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 602-256-6718 (telephone) 602-256-6667 (fax) stephen@montoyalawgroup.com Attorney for Plaintiff IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
4								
5								
6								
7								
8		FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA						
9	Loffr		1					
10		ey Wilson,	No.					
11	Plain	ttiff,	COMPLAINT					
12	V.	C Di						
13								
14	Dete	ndant.						
15		Englis Commission and Defenden	4. DL: ::://CC -11 (1 C - 11					
16	1	For his Complaint against Defendant						
1. This is an action to redress retaliation in the public workplace at								
18		•	asserted by Jeff Wilson against the City of					
19		1	he Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §					
20		2000e, as amended.						
21	case under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(4).							
22	3. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 42 U.S.							
23		2000e-5(f)(3).						
24	4.	Plaintiff Jeffrey Wilson is a citizen	of the United States of America residing in					
25		Maricopa County, Arizona.						
5. Defendant City of Phoenix (the "City") is an Arizona municipal cor								
27		located in Maricopa County, Arizona.						
28	6.	The City has been engaged in an industry affecting commerce and has had at						
_0		least fifteen employees for each working day in at least twenty calendar weeks						

this year or last year.

7. The Phoenix Fire Department is an agency within the City of Phoenix owned, controlled, and operated by the City.

Mr. Wilson has been employed as a Firefighter by the Phoenix Fire Department

8.

since October 3, 1994 and was employed by the Fire Department at all times material to this Complaint.

9. For the past several years, Mr. Wilson has informally and formally complained about both drug abuse and violations of the federal civil rights laws at the Phoenix Fire Department.

10. The Fire Department retaliated against Mr. Wilson in response to his complaints of misconduct and discrimination in the workplace, and Mr. Wilson ultimately filed a federal civil rights action against the City of Phoenix. See Wilson v. City of Phoenix, Arizona District Court No. CV 10-02614-PHX-JWS.

11. After Mr. Wilson filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the City of Phoenix, the City retaliated against him by (among other things) subjecting him to verbal and physical harassment, unfairly bad-mouthing him to his co-workers, giving him less favorable work assignments, denying him overtime opportunities, subjecting him to increased surveillance, and unfairly evaluating his work performance.

12. Moreover, <u>after Mr. Wilson filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the City of Phoenix</u>, the Fire Department retaliatorily terminated Mr. Wilson based on allegations of misconduct that were <u>not</u> true and did <u>not</u> warrant termination even if true.

13. In fact, literally dozens of other Phoenix Firefighters who have <u>not</u> complained about discrimination at the Phoenix Fire Department have engaged in far <u>more</u> serious misconduct (including criminal conduct) than the misconduct of which Mr. Wilson was falsely accused <u>without</u> being disciplined at all or disciplined as harshly as Mr. Wilson was disciplined.

14. The Fire Department also failed to follow its own procedures when it terminated 1 Mr. Wilson. 2 15. Mr. Wilson did not encourage or consent to the retaliation summarized above. 3 16. To the contrary, Mr. Wilson challenged his retaliatory termination by means of 4 an administrative appeal before the City. 5 17. Upon conclusion of the administrative appeal, the City ultimately rescinded Mr. 6 Wilson's termination, reinstated his employment with the City, and awarded 7 him back-pay, but demoted him from Captain to Firefighter based on reasons 8 that were unfounded and in any event unrelated to the allegations of misconduct 9 against him. 10 18. Mr. Wilson gave the City direct notice of the retaliation. 11 19. The City also knew or should have known of the retaliation because it pervaded 12 the workplace and created a hostile working environment. 13 20. Despite such notice, the City failed to timely and meaningfully investigate and 14 remediate Mr. Wilson's complaints of retaliation and actually ratified the 15 retaliatory misconduct of Mr. Wilson's supervisors and co-workers at the City. 16 21. In fact, the Fire Department is engaging in a pattern and practice of retaliating 17 against its employees who criticize or complain about the Department. 18 22. The retaliation summarized above had a substantial negative impact on Mr. 19 Wilson's compensation at the City and has caused him significant emotional 20 distress and continues to do so. 21 23. Based on the misconduct of the City as summarized above, Mr. Wilson timely 22 filed a Charge of Discrimination against the City with the United States Equal 23 Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC") on June 17, 2015. See 24 attached Exhibit A. 25 24. Mr. Wilson requested a right to sue letter from the EEOC and commenced this 26

27

28

attached Exhibit B.

action within ninety days of his receipt of that letter on January 19, 2016. See

1						
2	WHERE	FORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to:				
3	A.	Issue a judgment declaring that the conduct of Defendant as				
4		described above violated Plaintiff's rights under Title VII of the				
5		Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, as amended;				
6	В.	Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions against Defendant				
7		enjoining them from committing similar unlawful acts in the future;				
8	C.	Issue a judgment awarding Plaintiff nominal and compensatory				
9		damages against Defendant in amounts to be determined by the				
10		finder-of-fact at trial;				
11	D.	Issue a judgment awarding Plaintiff reasonable costs and attorney				
12		fees against Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e and any other				
13		applicable law; and				
14	E.	Issue a judgment awarding Plaintiff all other relief that is just and				
15		proper against Defendant under the circumstances.				
16						
17		Respectfully submitted this 12 th day of April 2016.				
18		MONTOYA, JIMENEZ, LUCERO & PASTOR, P.A.				
19		s/ Stephen Montoya				
20		Stephen Montoya				
21		3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 2550 Phoenix, Arizona 85012				
22		Attorney for Plaintiff				
23						
24	I hereby certify	that on April 12 2016. I electronically transmitted the foregoing				
25	I hereby certify that on April 12, 2016, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of					
26	a Notice of Elect	ronic Filing.				
27	s/ Stephen Monto	ova				
28	<u>s, stophen monte</u>	~ <i></i>				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Plaintiff

Jeffrey Wilson

Defendant City of Phoenix

County of Residence: Maricopa

County of Residence: Maricopa

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa

Plaintiff's Atty(s):

Defendant's Atty(s):

Stephen Montoya (Jeffrey Wilson) Montoya, Jimenez & Pastor, P.A. 3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 2550 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 256-6718

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)

III. Citizenship of Principal

Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:-N/A Defendant:-N/A

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

442 Employment

VI.Cause of Action:

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. Sec. 2000e, as

amended.

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: No Dollar Demand: Jury Demand: Yes

VIII. This case is not related to another case.

Signature: s/Stephen Montoya

Date: April 12, 2016

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014

EXHIBIT A

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)							
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION		Charge Pres	resented To: Agency(ies) Charge No(s): EPA		(ies) Charge No(s):		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form,		FEI					
Outstrick and other mistriation before completing this joint.		oc					
Arizona Attorney General's	Office, C	ivil Rights	Division		and EEOC		
State or local , Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	Agency, if any		- Bh #!' A				
Jeffrey Wilson		re Prione (<i>inci. Area</i>	one (Incl.: Area Code) Date of Birth				
	tate and ZIP Co	nde					
Oity, Seate and 217 Code							
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Committee, or State or Local Government Agency That I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.)							
Name	arto balow.y	mployees, Members	es, Members Phone No. (Include Area Code)				
City of Phoenix Fire Department			500+	(6	02) 534-8501		
	ate and ZIP Co	de					
150 South 12 th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034			1670 3	-			
Name		No. E	mployees, Members	Phone	(Idul Acadode)		
Street Address City Sta	-A 310 O			JUN	1 7 2015		
Silest Address City, Sta	ate and ZIP Coo			DV	ma		
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)			DATE(S) DISCR	OTANIMI:	N TOOK PLACE		
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION			Earliest		Latest		
	NATIONA						
X RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY G	GENETIC INFO	RMATION	x	CONTINUI	NG ACTION		
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, ettach extra sheet(s)):							
I was employed as a Firefighter and Firefighter Captain by February 2, 2015, when the Department terminated me based in							
2, 2012, when the Department terminated me based in	apon roun	atory and re	nac anogunon	3 OI 11113	sconduct.		
Specifically, for the past few years, I have been informally an							
discrimination in the workplace at the Phoenix Fire Departm complaints, and I ultimately filed a federal civil rights action							
Phoenix, Arizona District Court No. CV 10-02614-PHX-JWS.		ine City o	T THOUTHA. L	JOC YYII	SOIT V. CITY OF		
After my federal civil rights lawsuit against the City of Phoe emboldened and accused me of misconduct based upon alle	enix conclu eced facts	ided in fav	or of the City	, the D	epartment was		
violate any of the Department's rules. In fact, dozens o	of other F	irefighters	who have n	ot com	plained about		
discrimination at the Department have engaged in far more serious misconduct than the misconduct of which I was							
falsely accused without being disciplined at all. The Department also failed to follow its own procedures when it ultimately terminated me.							
uninatory terminated inc.							
Based on these facts, I believe that I have been retaliated against 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.	ainst in vio	olation of T	itle VII of th	e Civil	Rights Act of		
1704, 42 0.5.0. § 20000.							
want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I	NOTARY - I	When necessary	for State and Loca	l Agency R	equirements		
poperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their	,						
rocedures. declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT						
İ							
6/17/15 Oan & Holsin	SUBSCRIBE (month, day,		TO BEFORE ME	THIS DATE			
Date Charging Party Signature	1						

EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

U.S. QUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMUSION

		Notice of Right to Sue	(ISSUED O	N REQUEST)		
To: Jeff r	⊗ý Wilson		From:	Phoenix District Office 3300 North Central Ave Suite 690 Phoenix, AZ 85012		
		n(s) aggrieved whose identity is 9 CFR §1601.7(a))				
EEOC Charg	ge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.		
		Jeremy A. Yubeta,				
540-2015-	02442	Supervisory Invest	igator	(602) 640-5028		
Nozior zo za	TE PERSON AGGRIEV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(See also	the additional information enclosed with this form.)		
Act (GINA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued under Title VII, the ADA or GINA based on the above-numbered charge. It has been issued at your request. Your lawsuit under Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be filed in a federal or state court WITHIN 90 DAY: of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.) X More than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.						
X		ninating its processing of this charge.	o dayo nom mo	Timing of this charge.		
	The EEOC will co	ntinue to process this charge.				
Age Discrim 90 days after your case:	ination in Employ you receive notice	ment Act (ADEA): You may sue under the that we have completed action on the ch	ne ADEA at any arge. In this re	time from 60 days after the charge was filed until gard, the paragraph marked below applies to		
	The EEOC is clos 90 DAYS of your	ing your case. Therefore, your lawsuit ur receipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your	nder the ADEA i right to sue bas	must be filed in federal or state court WITHIN sed on the above-numbered charge will be lost.		
	The EEOC is cont you may file suit in	inuing its handling of your ADEA case. He federal or state court under the ADEA a	lowever, if 60 d t this time.	ays have passed since the filing of the charge,		
in federal or s	tate court within 2 y	ndy have the right to sue under the EPA (fil ears (3 years for willful violations) of the all nore than 2 years (3 years) before you f	leged EPA unde	narge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought erpayment. This means that backpay due for be collectible.		
If you file suit,	based on this charg	ge, please send a copy of your court comp	laint to this offic	e.		
		On beh	gh of the Comn	JAN 1 3 2016		

Enclosures(s)

Elizabeth Cadle, Acting District Director (Date Mailed)

cc:

Don Logan Deputy EEO Director PHOENIX CITY OF 251 W. Washington St., 7th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85003 Stephen Montoya, Esq. MONTOYA, JIMENEZ & PASTOR, P.A. 3200 N. Central AVe., Suite 2550 Phoenix, AZ 85012